

Section Title	Figure caption	ON DOCUSHARE	Sidebar- headline news stories
<b>1.0 TRIUMF: Accelerating Science for Canada</b>			
<b>1.1 Mission, Vision, Value Statements</b>			
<b>1.2 Foreward</b>			
<b>1.3 Preface</b>			
<b>1.4 Executive Summary</b>			
<b>2.0 The Vision</b>			
<b>2.1 Introduction</b>			
<b>2.2 5YP 2015-2020</b>			
2.2.1 Advancing Knowledge	Figure 2.2.1-1: An overview of TRIUMF's research programs, driven by accelerators and delivering value for Canada.	Figure 2.2.1-1	<b>40. Canada is Physics Powerhouse</b>
2.2.2 Generating Future Leaders	Figure 2.2.2-1: Time series of the annual number of scientific publications with an acknowledged TRIUMF co-author for the past 20 years based on a query from Web of Knowledge databases.	Figure 2.2.2-1.	<b>36. TRIUMF research scientist runner-up for "Canada's Smartest Person"</b> <b>35. TRIUMF &amp; AAPS jointly release report on Status of PET imaging for cancer across Canada</b> <b>47 Canadians Solutions ...</b>
2.2.3 Creating Societal and Economic Growth			
2.2.4 Inputs and Outputs			
2.2.5 Summary			
<b>2.3. Aligning with Canada's Priorities</b>			
Principle: Promoting World-class excellence			<b>39. Oliver Stelzer-Chilton Elected to IPP Council</b> <b>19. Yamazaki Awarded...</b>
Principle: Focusing on Priorities			
Principle: Encouraging Partnerships			<b>10. MDS Nordion &amp; TRIUMF to collaborate on Uranium-free Isotope Production</b>
Principle: Enchanting Accountability			
Knowledge Advantage: Global Excellence in Research			<b>41. 152nd Nobel Symposium...</b>
People Advantage: Enabling and Equipping the next generation of leaders			
Entrepreneurial Advantage: Bridging the academic and commercial sectors			<b>15. Nordion, TRIUMF, and the University of British Columbia Announce Partnership to Develop New Diagnostic Imaging Agents</b> <b>22. TRIUMF Alumnus...</b>
<b>2.4. A Track Record of Excellence</b>			
<b>2.5. Implementing the Plan</b>	Figure 2.5-1: Allocation of TRIUMF's work force supported NRC funds by major program area; FY2012 actuals (upper) and FY2017 projections (lower). Five-Year Plan 2015–2020 proposes to add about 35 FTEs (presently supported by temporary funds from other sources) onto the NRC salary budget.	Figure 2.5-1.	<b>46. Tom Ruth...</b>
<b>2.6 Looking a decade ahead</b>			
<b>2.7 Summary</b>			
<b>3.0 Partnerships with Impact</b>			
<b>3.1. Introduction</b>			
<b>3.2. Partnership Strategy</b>			
3.2.1. Canadian Universities and Research Insitutions			
3.2.2. International Organizations			<b>38. Voyage of Discovery Sights what could be the Higgs</b>
3.2.3. Canadian Industry			
<b>3.3. Selected Examples</b>			
3.3.1. ARIEL			
3.3.2. Cyclotron-produced technetium medical isotopes			
3.3.3. CERN			<b>51. TRIUMF student awarded...</b>
3.3.4. UCN			<b>11. Japanese Approve Funding for UCN Project at TRIUMF</b>
3.3.5. Combined strength in subatomic physics: Perimeter Insitute, SNOLAB, and TRIUMF			
<b>3.4 Summary</b>			
<b>4.0 Success: Highlights from 2008-2013</b>			
<b>4.1. Introduction</b>			
<b>4.2. Advancing Knowledge</b>			
4.2.1 Fundamental Constituents of Matter and their Interactions			

4.2.1.1	Direct Particle Production Searches	<p>Figure 1: Left-hand plot shows consistency of data with background-only model (no Higgs boson); the middle plot shows the signal strength as a function of assumed Higgs boson mass. A strength of 1 corresponds to the signal strength expected for a Standard Model Higgs boson of the mass indicated on the abscissa—thus, a value near one indicates consistency with a Higgs boson of the corresponding mass, while a value near zero indicates consistency with the background-only hypothesis; the right-hand plot shows the signal strength for each decay channel, as well as for the combination.</p> <p>Figure 2: Candidate Higgs event from vector boson fusion, decaying to tau leptons (green and red narrow cones). The forward jets (cyan) in the hadronic end-cap (left) and forward (right) calorimeters are essential to identify vector boson fusion events.</p> <p>Figure 3: (Left) Mass of Higgs boson from the two high-mass-resolution decay channels; in the preliminary ATLAS results, these are compatible within 2.7 standard deviation; (right) the CMS preliminary results show the 68% confidence level contours.</p> <p>Figure 4. A selection of limits from ATLAS searches for supersymmetry (as of December 2012). The ATLAS-CONF note numbers on the coloured bars can be used to find the documents describing these results</p> <p>Figure 6: Limits on gaugino production in a simplified model assuming all gauginos decay to Standard Model gauge bosons and the lightest neutralino, which is the LSP.</p> <p>Figure 7: Current status of searches for the supersymmetric partner of the top quark at ATLAS, with several different assumptions about the stop and LSP masses indicated by the different colours. The “natural” preference is for a stop mass not too far from the top quark mass (which is 175 GeV) to cancel divergences in the Higgs mass from top quark loops. The legend refers to the ATLAS-CONF notes documenting these preliminary results.</p> <p>Figure 8: Limits on R-parity violating supersymmetry in a particular simplified model, where the gluino is strongly produced and decays to a final state with four leptons (electrons or muons).</p> <p>Figure 9: Limits on neutral gauge boson production in various models from searches for resonances in electron- and muon-pair production. The right-hand plot is an event display of a muon pair with an invariant mass of 1.2 TeV.</p> <p>Figure 10: ATLAS 90% confidence-level inferred cross-section limits as a function of WIMP mass for (left) spin-independent and (right) spin-</p>	<p>4.2.1.1_Fig1Left</p> <p>4.2.1.1_Fig1mid</p> <p>4.2.1.1_Fig1right</p> <p>4.2.1.1_Fig2</p> <p>4.2.1.1_Fig3Left</p> <p>4.2.1.1_Fig3Right</p> <p>4.2.1.1_Fig4</p> <p>4.2.1.1_Fig5</p> <p>4.2.1.1_Fig6</p> <p>4.2.1.1_Fig7</p> <p>4.2.1.1_Fig8</p> <p>4.2.1.1_Fig9Left</p> <p>4.2.1.1_Fig9Right</p> <p>4.2.1.1_Fig10Left</p> <p>4.2.1.1_Fig10Right</p>	
4.2.1.2.	Neutrino and Dark Matter Physics	<p>Figure 1: Energy spectrum of candidate electron neutrino events in T2K data taken through summer 2012.</p>	4.2.1.2_fig1	52. Canadian researchers on hand...
4.2.1.3.	Fundamental Symmetries	<p>Figure 1: Antihydrogen trapping rate as function of confinement time. From Ref. [2].</p> <p>Figure 2: Antihydrogen annihilation time distribution as a function of time, for microwave on resonance, off resonance and no microwave dataset. Microwaves are applied at time 0, and the annihilation peak at that time indicates the induce spin flip of antihydrogen. From Ref. 3.</p> <p>Figure 3: The magnetic shielding test stand with Ben Feinberg. The shields are inside the central cylinder and are positioned vertically as in a fountain. Magnetic fields in both transverse directions are produced by long rectangular coils wound on the wood forms seen prominently in the photograph. Axial fields are produced by solenoid coils wound on a fiberboard cylinder.</p> <p>Figure 4: Left: Oscilloscope traces of PMT outputs showing neutron captures on GS20 (Li-6 enriched) and GS30 (Li-6 depleted) glass scintillator. Right: Cutting glass scintillator at UM</p> <p>Figure 5: UW test apparatus (left) with three-axis fluxgate magnetometer on wooden stand at centre of x-, y-, and z-compensation coils. Results showing the <math>\sim 1 \mu\text{T}</math> field perturbation (top right) that</p>	<p>4.2.1.3_fig1</p> <p>4.2.1.3_fig2</p> <p>4.2.1.3_fig3</p> <p>4.2.1.3_fig4Left</p> <p>4.2.1.3_fig4Right</p> <p>4.2.1.3_fig5</p>	37. Makoto Fujiwara Wins John Dawson Award
4.2.1.4	Weak Interaction Studies	<p>Figure 1: TWIST measurements of the muon decay parameters <math>\rho</math>, <math>\delta</math>, and <math>P\mu\epsilon^2</math> relative to previous determinations. In each plot, the vertical line shows the Standard Model prediction and the grey bars show the total uncertainty.</p> <p>Figure 2: Estimated precision of the <math>Q_{\text{weak}}</math> determination of the weak mixing angle <math>\sin^2\theta_w</math> relative to other measurements at different energies.</p> <p>Figure 3: Measurements of weak boson production cross-sections by the ATLAS experiment.</p> <p>Figure 4: Transverse mass distribution of events in the muon channel.</p> <p>Figure 5: Reduced asymmetry with data rotated to the forward angle limit.</p>	<p>4.2.1.4_fig1a</p> <p>4.2.1.4_fig1b</p> <p>4.2.1.4_fig1c</p> <p>4.2.1.4_fig2</p> <p>4.2.1.4_fig3</p> <p>4.2.1.4_fig4</p> <p>4.2.1.4_fig5</p>	18. DNP Thesis Prize Awarded to Rob MacDonald
4.2.2	Strongly Interacting Systems: From Nuclei to Stellar Explosions			

4.2.2.1	From QCD to Nuclear Forces	<p>Figure 1: Detectors fabricated and tested at TRIUMF for the G0 backward angle measurements at JLab. The 8-fold symmetry of the detector system is matched to that of the superconducting magnetic spectrometer. Visible are the supports for the scintillation detector arrays (white), in front of the aerogel Cherenkov detectors, whose magnetically shielded photomultiplier tubes are visible in yellow.</p> <p>Figure 2: The form factors a) <math>G_{SE}</math> b) <math>G_{SM}</math> and c) <math>G_{EA}</math> determined by the G0 experiment and others. Error bars show statistical and statistical plus point-to-point systematic uncertainties (added in quadrature); shaded bars below the corresponding points show global systematic uncertainties (for G0 points).</p> <p>Figure 3: A study depicting two charmed mesons, <math>D_0^*</math> and <math>D_1</math>.</p>	4.2.2.1_fig1 4.2.2.1_fig2 4.2.2.1_fig3	
4.2.2.2	Nuclear Forces and Exotic Nuclei	<p>Figure 1: Gamma-ray spectrum from [7]. Brown, total spectrum; black, spectrum gated by the inelastic scattering peak in Bambino</p> <p>Figure 2: Exclusion plot combining lifetime and Coulex data, indicating a prolate shape. Data point is a calculation based on the methods of Navratil et al.</p> <p>Figure 3: Gamma-ray spectrum with and without Doppler correction from the Be-11 high-precision B(E1) measurement.</p> <p>Figure 4: The kinematic loci of the protons identified in the upstream silicon detector in coincidence with Be-12 in the downstream silicon detector. The band identified with (2) was analyzed to extract a large s-wave component for the excited <math>0^+</math> state, leading to the conclusion that this is a halo state</p> <p>Figure 5: The new TITAN mass values for the neutron separation energies in calcium isotopes agree extremely well with theory, and show a large deviation from the values in the literature.</p>	4.2.2.2_Fig 1 4.2.2.2_fig2 4.2.2.2_fig3 4.2.2.2_fig4 4.2.2.2_fig5	12. Joint publications... 17. International team...
4.2.2.3	Collective States in Nuclei	<p>Figure 1: <math>\gamma</math>-ray spectrum gated by the 958.0-keV transition feeding the 1871-keV <math>0^+4</math> level in Cd-112. The energies of the <math>\gamma</math>-ray transitions from the <math>0^+4</math> level are labeled in keV, with the remaining transitions labeled with their placements in the level scheme [3].</p> <p>Figure 2: Previous and current level schemes for decays from the 1871-keV <math>0^+4</math> level in Cd-112 [3].</p> <p>Figure 3: (a) Portion of the <math>\gamma</math>-ray spectrum gated on the 382-keV gamma ray in Zr-94 following the decay of Y-94. (b) Confirmation for the placement of the deexciting 371-keV <math>2^+2</math> to <math>0^+2</math> gamma ray is evident [4].</p> <p>Figure 4: Levels of Zr-94 below 2350 keV, with the band based on the 1300-keV <math>0^+2</math> state emphasized. The B(E2) values in W.u. are given in boxes [4].</p>	4.2.2.3_fig1 4.2.2.3_fig2 4.2.2.3_fig3 4.2.2.3_fig4	
4.2.2.4	Nuclear Astrophysics	<p>Figure 1: Differential cross-sections of the <math>^{18}\text{F}(p,p)^{18}\text{F}</math> and <math>^{18}\text{F}(p,\alpha)^{15}\text{O}</math> reactions as a function of centre-of-mass energy obtained using the TUDA array. A simultaneous R-matrix fit, calculated for a centre-of-mass angles of <math>156^\circ</math> for (p,p) and <math>151^\circ</math> for (p,<math>\alpha</math>), is shown by the solid black line. The dashed and short-dashed lines show cross-sections calculated for different high lying resonance parameters, based on previous work.</p> <p>Figure 2: Experimental <math>^{18}\text{Ne}(\alpha,p)^{21}\text{Na}</math> reaction cross section (black dots) as a function of centre-of-mass energy. Predictions based on Hauser-Feshbach calculations for ground-state to ground-state transitions (full line) and ground-state to all-states transitions (dashed line) are shown for comparison.</p>	4.2.2.4_Fig1 4.2.2.4_fig2	4. TUDA completes...
4.2.3	Nuclear Medicine			
4.2.3.1	Introduction			
4.2.3.2	Alternative Production Methods for $^{99m}\text{Tc}$			
4.2.3.3	Medical Isotope Production Accelerator Target Development and Isolation			5. First patient...
4.2.3.4	Technological Innovation			
4.2.3.5	Advancing Radiopharmaceutical Synthesis and Application			
4.2.3.6	Proton Therapy	<p>Figure 1: Simulation of the proton therapy beam line in 3D showing the incoming proton beam (green) and secondary particles (red to black).</p> <p>Figure 2: (a) The irregular collimator used for irradiation of a lucite rod phantom. (b) PET image of lucite phantom scan in the transverse plane. The outline of the phantom, determined from the transmission scan, is indicated by the yellow outline. (c and d) PET images of patient scan after proton treatment in the transverse plane. The activity in the tumor is clearly visible. The tumour size is indicated with the red outline. Scanning was performed at UBC PET Imaging Centre.</p>	4.2.3.6_Fig1 4.2.3.6_fig2a 4.2.3.6_2b 4.2.3.6_2c 4.2.3.6_2d	28. First successful scan of patient with F-DOPA announced
4.2.4	Molecular and Materials Science			

4.2.4.1. Background	<p>Figure 1: Schematic of the TF-<math>\mu</math>SR experimental geometry. 4.2.4_fig1</p> <p>Figure 2: Schematic of the LF-<math>\mu</math>SR or <math>\mu</math>LQR experimental geometry. LF-<math>\mu</math>SR is a time differential technique while <math>\mu</math>LQR is a time-integral technique. 4.2.4_fig2</p>	20. TRIUMF to play role...
4.2.4.2. Magnetism	<p>Figure 3: Muon spin relaxation rate in spin ice Dy<sub>2</sub>Ti<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>. The low temperature behaviour of <math>1/T_1</math> is shown in an expanded linear scale in the inset [3]. 4.2.4_fig3</p> <p>Figure 4: The phase diagram of Na<sub>x</sub>Ca<sub>1-x</sub>V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. PM: paramagnetic, AF: antiferromagnetic, and IC: incommensurate [2]. 4.2.4_fig4</p> <p>Figure 5: The Co(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>[Au(CN)<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O coordination polymer consists of octahedrally coordinated metal centres with four equatorial water molecules and trans-axial [Au(CN)<sub>4</sub>]- nitriles, generating a 1-D linear rod of M(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>[Au(CN)<sub>4</sub>]-units. Only weak antiferromagnetic interactions along the rods are mediated by the [Au(CN)<sub>4</sub>]-units. However, zero field <math>\mu</math>SR measurements indicate that there are also weak interchain interactions that yield a phase transition to a spin-frozen magnetic state below 0.26 K [11]. 4.2.4_fig5</p> <p>Figure 6: Crystal structures of cubic Li(Zn,Mn)As (ferromagnet), tetragonal LiMnAs (antiferromagnet) and tetragonal LiFeAs (superconductor) [12]. 4.2.4_fig6</p> <p>Figure 7: Temperature dependence of ZF-<math>\mu</math>SR spectra, showing precession in the spontaneous internal magnetic field and (bottom) Fourier transform of the time spectra measured at 1.8K [14]. 4.2.4_fig7</p>	
4.2.4.3 Superconductivity	<p>Figure 8: Generic phase diagram of cuprates. The undoped material is an antiferromagnetic insulator. The doping of holes in the CuO<sub>2</sub> layers destroys the antiferromagnetic phase, ultimately giving rise to a high-temperature superconducting state. Further doping eventually destroys superconductivity. Above the superconducting transition temperature (<math>T_c</math>) there is a highly anomalous "normal" state containing a so-called "pseudogap" phase. The pseudogap is believed to be either a precursor to superconductivity or a manifestation of a competing order. 4.2.4_fig8</p> <p>Figure 9: Search for loop-current order in the pseudogap phase of YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>y</sub> by ZF-<math>\mu</math>SR. A coherent oscillation indicative of magnetic order is observed only in a YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>6.6</sub> single crystal, which was previously identified as containing an unusual magnetic order by polarized neutrons scattering. However, as a local probe, the ZF-<math>\mu</math>SR measurements reveal that the magnetic order is present in only 3% of the sample, and can be explained by an impurity phase with local magnetic moments on the oxygen atoms in the CuO<sub>2</sub> layers [18]. 4.2.4_fig9</p> <p>Figure 10: Magnetism and superconductivity in an iron based high-temperature superconductor [58]. An early <math>\mu</math>SR study of the hole-doped iron arsenide Ba<sub>1-x</sub>K<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> shows the coexistence of phase-separated static magnetic order and nonmagnetic/superconducting regions. The latter is indicated here by the finite paramagnetic fraction [21]. 4.2.4_fig10</p> <p>Figure 11: Inhomogeneous magnetic-field response of a high-temperature cuprate superconductor. The relaxation rate of the <math>\mu</math>SR signal (<math>\Lambda</math>) of La<sub>2-x</sub>Sr<sub>x</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> induced by an applied magnetic field exhibits an unexpected temperature dependence above <math>T_c</math> (In the temperature-versus-x phase diagram, <math>T_c</math> is indicated by the white dashed curve). The finite relaxation rate above <math>T_c</math> is indicative of a distribution of magnetic moments [22]. 4.2.4_fig11</p> <p>Figure 12: Temperature dependence of the muon spin relaxation rate <math>\Lambda</math> of La<sub>2-x</sub>Sr<sub>x</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> for various magnetic fields. The dashed line is a guide to the eye. 4.2.4_fig12</p>	
4.2.4.4 Muon Chemistry	<p>Figure 13: Muonic helium can be considered to be a super-heavy H isotope because the <math>\mu^-</math> shields the charge of the nucleus. The measured reaction rates, shown in the above Arrhenius plot, are in quantitative agreement with fully rigorous quantum calculations and indicate that zero-pointenergy effects dominate over quantum tunnelling in the abstraction reactions [36]. 4.2.4_fig13</p> <p>Figure 14: (a) The reaction of Mu with methanol proceeds by Mu abstracting an H from the methyl group of methanol (b) Arrhenius plot of the rate constant for the reaction of Mu with methanol in water. The rate constants deviate significantly from the Arrhenius behaviour (dotted line) near the critical point. 4.2.4_fig14</p> <p>Figure 15: Muonium is an excellent, unbiased probe of reactivity, and using muon spin spectroscopy makes it possible to identify the site of Mu addition in quite complicated molecules. Two distinct free radicals were detected as a result of muonium addition to a silylene-carbene complex (Si violet, N yellow, C gray, H blue, Mu red) [42]. 4.2.4_fig15</p> <p>Figure 16: (a) Schematic diagram of the C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>Mu radical interacting with the SII cation in NaY (shown by the green circle), which causes distortion from planarity of the C-Mu bond above (exo) and below (endo) the plane shown in the diagram as Hexo and Hendo respectively. 4.2.4_fig16</p>	

4.2.4.5	Beta-NMR	<p>Figure 17: (Left) A schematic of a <math>\beta</math>-NMR experiment. The initial polarization can either be perpendicular to the beam direction or parallel and plastic scintillation detectors are used to detect the high-energy beta-decay electrons. (top right) The <math>\beta</math>-decay asymmetry can be measured as a function of a small RF magnetic field applied perpendicular to the main field. This results in resonances when the RF frequency matches the Larmor frequency of the Li-8 in the local magnetic field. The upper resonance corresponds to Li-8 in a thin 50 nm Ag film in a magnetic field of 0.3 T, while the lower spectrum was taken at 3.0 T, where signals from two different sites can be resolved. (bottom right) Alternatively one can pulse the beam with no RF field and simply measure the polarization decay as function of time. The observed relaxation in a 50 nm Ag film is due to Korringa scattering of conduction electrons at the Fermi surface [59].</p> <p>Figure 18: The spin lattice relaxation rate (<math>1/T_1</math>) as a function of temperature in 3 mT applied field. The red, blue and green circles are measurements in samples with 8 (LAO8), 6 (LAO6) and 3 (LAO3) unit cells of LAO, respectively. The squares and triangles are reference measurements in LAO and STO bare crystals [53].</p> <p>Figure 19: Nuclear spin relaxation rate of Li-8 in 30 nm of Ag on 270 nm of Nb. The signal comes entirely from the thin Ag film, which is not a superconductor. However the Nb with <math>T_c = 9.0</math> K induces superconductivity in the Ag via a proximity effect. Note the sharp peak in the relaxation rate at the <math>T_c</math>. This is attributed to slow fluctuations in the induced superconducting order parameter in the Ag [54].</p> <p>Figure 20: <math>\beta</math>-NMR spectra taken by implanting 2 keV Li8+ into 15 nm Ag/YBCO(110), in an external field of <math>B_0 = 10</math> G applied along the surface of the film. Inset shows the simulated implantation profile using</p>	<p>4.2.4_fig17 4.2.4_fig18 4.2.4_fig19 4.2.4_fig20 4.2.4_fig21 4.2.4_fig22</p>	
4.2.4.6	Radiation-induced effects in materials and electronics			
4.2.5	Accelerator Science	<p>Figure 1: Suppression of the <math>\nu_r = 3/2</math> resonance: Radial beam density around extraction with 0, 1 and 2 correction coils. Top: simulation; Bottom: experimental results. The full correction results in greatly reduced fluctuation.</p> <p>Figure 2: Top: turn pattern (from turn #2) seen by the LE2 probe. Bottom: detail of the signal on each individual finger.</p> <p>Figure 3: VECC Longitudinal beam phase space under two gun RF settings of a grid beamlet projected onto a screen in the spectrometer. The long dimension corresponds to the RF phase, with the full gun RF cycle spanning 13.08 mm. The short dimension corresponds to a momentum spread where dispersion is 2 m. Bunch lengthening, tail formation and time-momentum correlation as bunch charge increases can be directly seen from such plots.</p> <p>Figure 4: Beam intensity at the location of emittance measurement <math>I_{em}</math> and beam brightness at the same location <math>B_{em}</math> for 204 MV U</p>	<p>4.2.5_Fig1 4.2.5_Fig2 4.2.5_Fig3 4.2.5_Fig4 4.2.5_Fig5 4.2.5_Fig6</p>	INSERT IN 4.2.5: #48 Doug Storey...
4.2.6	Detector Science and Technology			
4.3.	<b>Creating Future Leaders</b>			
4.3.1	Direct Research Experiences for Young People	<p>Table 4.3.1. Undergraduate students who worked at TRIUMF (and received stipends via TRIUMF) during the 2008-2012 period. Also shown is the source of funding and whether the students came to TRIUMF from Canada or abroad. Informal surveys of TRIUMF supervisors suggest that at least another 75 undergraduates worked at TRIUMF during this five-year cycle but were not paid through TRIUMF and therefore not tracked.</p> <p>Table 4.3.2. Graduate students who conducted research at TRIUMF and received stipends processed through TRIUMF's finance office. Students arriving from outside Canada are denoted as well, based on their residency status (SIN number).</p> <p>Table 4.3.3. Post-doctoral fellows at TRIUMF. Six to eight PDFs each year are funded through NRC. Table 4.3.4 History of public tours at TRIUMF. Note that the Community Open House in 2009 elevated the results for that years.</p>	(appear in text)	30. Students Demonstration of Muon-related glitches wins award 22. TRIUMF Alumnus...
4.3.2.	Informal Science Education	Table 4.3.4 History of public tours at TRIUMF. Note that the Community Open House in 2009 elevated the results for that years.		
4.3.3	Outcomes			32. Tim Meyer...
4.4.	Generating Economic and Social Benefits	<p>Figure 1: AAPS, Inc. serves as TRIUMF's key commercialization partner.</p> <p>Figure 2: CRM Geotomography Technologies, Inc. is a recent spin-out from AAPS that seeks to use cosmic-ray muons for underground imaging of candidate ore bodies.</p> <p>Figure 3: AAPS builds on TRIUMF's expertise in nuclear medicine to</p>	<p>4.4_Fig1, 4.4_Fig2, 4.4_Fig3</p>	
4.4.1	4.4.1 Results from Advanced Applied Physics Solutions, Inc.			2. TRIUMF and Local Firm...
4.4.2	4.4.2 Results from TRIUMF's Innovation and Industrial Partnerships	Table 4.4.2.1. Commercial revenues flowing to TRIUMF for the past five fiscal years.	(in text)	
4.4.3	4.4.3 Results from Modelling Economic Impact			
4.4.4	4.4.4 Conclusion			
5.0	<b>Assets: Physical and Intellectual Capital</b>			
5.1.	Introduction	Figure 1: The TRIUMF site map.	5.1_fig1	
5.2.	Expert Personnel	Figure 1: Fraction of the organization chart groups used by the larger projects in 2012.	5.2_fig1	7. Gerald Oakham.... 27. TRIUMF's Doug Bryman...

5.3.	<b>ARIEL</b>	Figure 1: Newly constructed stores building. Figure 2: A view towards the north of the completed Electron Hall interior. Figure 3: The ARIEL building, as of July 2013; the south elevation (a) and the north elevation (b). Figure 4: The Radon Room in the south elevation photo with the ARIEL *** Images named 5.3.1. Figures 1 through Figure 20 are suited for a two page spread or similar layout.	5.3_fig1 5.3_fig2 5.3_fig3a 5.3_fig3b 5.3_fig4 5.3.1_fig 1 through fig20	31. Work begins on...
5.3.1.	Civil Construction			
5.3.2.	E-linac and Beam Line			33. Air liquide...
5.3.3.	Isotope Production R&D	Figure 5: 3D view of the next generation of target stations proposed for ARIEL.	5.3_fig5	
<b>5.4. Accelerator and Beam Line Infrastructure</b>				
5.4.1.	Cyclotron and Primary Beam Lines	Figure 1: 500 MeV cyclotron and four primary proton beam lines: BL1, BL2A, BL2C, and BL4. Figure 2: Cyclotron uptime as a percentage of scheduled operational hours per year. Figure 3: Total delivered charge per year from the main cyclotron over the past decade. Figure 4: Total annual proton charge (mAh) delivered to three beam lines. Figure 5: The installation of the vertical section of TRIUMF's new main injection line. The line is used to transport hydrogen ions from the ion source to the centre of the cyclotron. Figure 6: Electromagnetic stripping loss as a function of energy.	5.4_fig1 5.4_fig2 5.4_fig3 5.4_fig4 5.4_fig5 5.4_fig6 5.4_fig7	21. IEEE recognizes...
5.4.2.	ISAC Target and Ion Sources	Figure 1: Inspection of brazing assemblies for target ion source heat shields. Figure 2: An ion-source for ISAC is inspected in TRIUMF's clean room. Figure 3: Elements of the target source tray are inspected during refurbishment. Figure 4: ISAC Conditioning Station and members of the engineering team	5.4.2-Fig1 5.4.2-Fig2 5.4.2-Fig3 5.4.2-Fig4 5.4.2-Fig5 5.4.2-Fig6	8. Actinide target...
5.4.3.	ISAC Accelerators	Figure 1: Overview of the ISAC facilities at TRIUMF. The ISAC-II linac is superconducting, while in ISAC-I the RFQ and the DTL are room temperature machines. Figure 2: A ISAC cryomodule in the TRIUMF clean room. Figure 3: A superconducting RF cavity manufactured by PAVAC for the ISAC-II accelerator.	5.4.3_Fig1 5.4.3_Fig2 5.4.3_Fig3	
5.4.4.	CMMS, UCN, SRF Helium Liquefier Facility	Figure 1: The historical usage of open-system liquid Helium at TRIUMF. A fully operational CMMS facility will use from 30–35,000 L/yr. Figure 2: The cost of liquid Helium over a decade. Figure 3: A schematic of the CMMS/UCN/SRF Helium liquefier system installed in 2013.	5.4.4_fig1 5.4.4_fig2 5.4.4_fig3	
<b>5.5. Experimental Facilities</b>				
5.5.1.	ISAC-I			
5.5.1.1.	DRAGON	Figure 1: Plan view of the DRAGON recoil separator, showing the gas target where the nuclear reactions occur, the electromagnetic devices that separate the recoil nuclei from the unreacted beam, and the detectors that measure properties of the recoil nuclei. Photograph	5.5.1.1_fig1	
5.5.1.2.	TRINAT	Figure 1: Cutaway view of upgraded chamber and ion detector geometry. One optical pumping beam is shown by the red arrows; the SiC mirror in front of the beta detector directs this beam downwards. Figure 2: Magnetic field coils, electrodes, and ion microchannel plate of the new apparatus, before installation of the electron MCP in the 15 cm port.	5.5.1.2_fig1 5.5.1.2_fig2	
5.5.1.3.	8Pi & GRIFFIN	Figure 1: Photograph of the east hemisphere of the 8pi spectrometer. Photo credit to Mikey Enriquez of the Global Photowalk 2012. Figure 2: Schematic of 8pi clover-detector arrays. Figure 3: Gamma-gamma coincidence efficiency of 8pi compared to GRIFFIN.	5.5.1.3_fig1 5.5.1.3_fig2 5.5.1.3_fig3	
5.5.1.4.	TITAN	Figure 1: Highly charged resonance of Rb-78 showing the resolved ground (right dip) and isomeric (left dip) states. Figure 2: Evolution of two-neutron separation energy, measured versus theory Figure 3: Electron-capture spectra. The lack of a 511keV line in the inset shows that background producing beta particles are guided away from the detectors.	5.5.1.4_Fig1 5.5.1.4_fig2 5.5.1.4_fig3	
5.5.1.5.	Laser Spectroscopy	Figure 1: A schematic of the laser spectroscopy system. Figure 2: A typical 2D spectrum.	5.5.1.5_fig1 5.5.1.5_fig2	24. Vanessa Simon Recognized at 2011 WNPPC

5.5.1.6. Francium PNC Lab	<p>Figure 1: Neutralizer chamber with the neutralizer holder in the catching down position (a). Neutralizer chamber with the neutralizer holder in the delivery up position (b).</p> <p>Figure 2: A typical spectrum Fr-206. The rapid (full scan taking ~20ms) microwave scanning almost eliminates the systematic errors due to the long-term laser frequency shifts.</p>	5.5.1.6_fig1 5.5.1.6_fig2
5.5.1.7. MTV: V: Test of Time Reversal Symmetry Using Polarized Unstable Nuclei	<p>Figure 1: The MTV setup with the MWDC at ISAC in 2010.</p> <p>Figure 2: The MTV setup with the CDC at ISAC in 2012.</p>	5.5.1.7_fig1 5.5.1.7_fig2
5.5.1.8. bNMR	<p>Figure 1: General layout of the in-flight polarizer and high- and low-field spectrometers. Beam (from the left) is longitudinally polarized while in-flight by counter-propagating circularly polarized laser light, then steered electrostatically to one of the two spectrometers.</p> <p>Figure 2: Schematic side view of the high-field <math>\beta</math>-NMR spectrometer. A polarized beam of Li-8+ ions enters from the left and comes to rest in a sample held in the cryostat at the magnet's center. The entire experiment may be raised to a high positive potential, creating a retarding electric field between the grounded end of the beam line and the front of the cryostat, thereby controlling the ion energy and implantation depth. Beta-decay events are counted by one detector located downstream of the sample within the cryostat, and another annular backward detector situated outside the magnet.</p> <p>Figure 3: Schematic view of the zero-/ low-field <math>\beta</math>-NMR spectrometer from above. Unlike the high field apparatus in Figure 2, the initial polarization and applied magnetic field are in the plane of the sample face. Beta detectors are situated on either side, outside the vacuum vessel. Particularly when operated at zero magnetic field, this spectrometer also performs beta-detected nuclear quadrupole resonance</p>	5.5.1.8_fig1 5.5.1.8_fig2 5.5.1.8_fig3
5.5.1.9 RadonEDM		
5.5.2. ISAC-II		
5.5.2.1. TIGRESS	<p>Figure 1: Photograph of TIGRESS with BAMBINO.</p> <p>Figure 2: Photograph of TIGRESS with SHARC.</p> <p>Figure 3: Photographs of TIP: a) plunger b) vacuum chamber installed on TIGRESS.</p> <p>Figure 4: (a) Model of SPICE with TIGRESS and (b) a photograph of assembled magnetic lens.</p> <p>Figure 5: Photograph of DESCANT.</p> <p>Figure (Tigress): This photo shows as substantial part of the collaboration and High Mass Task Force that is currently successfully running experiment S1389 with charge-bred Sr-94 beam to TIGRESS in ISAC-II.</p>	5.5.2.1_fig1a 5.5.2.1_fig1b 5.5.2.1_fig2 5.5.2.1_fig3a 5.5.2.1_fig3b 5.5.2.1_fig4 5.5.2.1_fig4a 5.5.2.1_fig4b 5.5.2.1_fig5 Tigress.pdf
5.5.2.2. EMMA	<p>Figure 1: Schematic view of EMMA showing the two large electric dipoles on either side of the central magnetic dipole. Magnetic quadrupole doublets at the front and back serve to spatially focus the recoiling nuclei and allow for variable mass dispersion.</p> <p>Figure 2: Photograph of the EMMA platform showing the red quadrupole doublets and the bottom half of the dipole magnet with its vacuum chamber.</p>	5.5.2.2_fig1 5.5.2.2_fig2
5.5.2.3. IRIS	<p>Figure 1: Snapshot of the IRIS beam line (top) and schematic layout of the detectors (below).</p> <p>Figure 2: Particle identification spectrum using <math>\Delta E</math>-E correlation of the downstream YY1-CsI(Tl) detector telescope from reactions of O-18 on a 100 <math>\mu</math>m solid hydrogen target.</p>	5.5.2.3_fig1 5.5.2.3_fig2
5.5.2.4. TUDA	Figure 1: The TUDA chamber.	5.5.2.4_fig1
5.5.2.5. DSL	<p>Figure 1: TRIUMF's DSL facility, showing the liquid nitrogen-cooled shroud along the beam axis, the target ladder, the Si detector telescope, and the high-purity germanium detector used to measure Doppler-shifted <math>\gamma</math> rays.</p> <p>Figure 2: Spectrum of <math>\gamma</math> rays detected at <math>0^\circ</math> in coincidence with <math>\alpha</math> particles of energy between 31.5 and 33.8 MeV emitted during the bombardment of a He-3-implanted Au foil by a 75 MeV Mg-24 beam. Observed transitions from excited states in various nuclei are indicated.</p>	5.5.2.5_fig1 5.5.2.5_fig2
5.5.3. Meson Hall	<p>Figure 1: Main beam lines of the cyclotron.</p> <p>Figure 2: The Meson Hall secondary beam lines: M15 comes off the first target opposite, and M11 is located in a Meson Hall Annex.</p>	5.5.3_fig1 5.5.3_fig2
5.5.3.1. MuSR Spectrometers	Table 1. TRIUMF's four main beam lines and their characteristics. Table 2. Beam time requested and delivered 2008–2013.	fig 1

#### 9. First acceleration...

This photo shows as substantial part of the collaboration and High Mass Task Force that is currently successfully running experiment S1389 with charge-bred 94Sr beam to TIGRESS in ISAC-II. (SIDEBAR-Tigress-aug2013-5yp.jpg)

5.5.3.2. Ultra Cold Neutrons	Figure 1: Location of the UCN facility in the Meson Hall. Figure 2: Left: Photo of Helium-II cryostat. The pumping for the He-3 and natural He (1 K) pots may be seen projecting out towards the viewer. Right: Photo of cryostat. The long horizontal section that would connect the two cryostats is also shown.	5.5.3.2_Fig1 5.5.3.2_Fig2Left 5.5.3.2_Fig2Right	16. Canadian Milestone in Japan-based Experiment
5.5.4. Detector Development Facility	Figure 1: The Haas VF-5/40XT CNC vertical milling center. Figure 2: The Haas TL-3 CNC lathe. Figure 3: The Multicam CNC router. Figure 4: The 3 m × 2.4 m precision-ground granite slab in the 7.8 m × 11.5 m × 5 m clean room.	5.5.4_fig1 5.5.4_fig2 5.5.4_fig3 5.5.4_fig4	
<b>5.5.5. TRIUMF Contributions to Off-Site Infrastructure</b>			
<b>5.5.5.1. Introduction</b>			
5.5.5.2. ATLAS	Figure 1: Neutral beam irradiation facility. The left-hand diagram shows a schematic of the NBIF, and the right-hand photo shows an ATLAS sample installed to the left of the NBIF ionization chamber. Figure 2: Beam Line 1A high intensity ATLAS irradiation tests. The schematic on the left shows the beam impinging upon a diagnostics system, with four pCVD diamond detectors mounted downstream. The photo on the right shows the same setup. The detectors are mounted on an aluminum plate, which is then mounted as the lid of a standard beam line "diagnostics box," and then installed directly into Beam Line 1A. Figure 3: Diagram of the ATLAS detector tests in the M11 beam line, where the beam exit is labeled "Beam Pipe." S0, S1, and S2 are scintillators used to trigger muons that have passed through the entire detector. The diamond detectors and precision pixel tracking detectors (USBPix) are located between two of the trigger scintillators. Figure 4: On the left is a SolidWorks design by Roy Langstaff showing the installation of a MiniFCal, shown on the right, in ATLAS. Langstaff and Lenckowski have developed complete installation scenarios for both the MiniFCal, and complete FCal replacement, options, as well as designed tooling for handling the HEC calorimeter required for replacement of electronics mounted on the edges of the detector. Figure 5: Normalized response of four different detectors irradiated in TRIUMF Beam Line 1A. These tests extend the levels of previous measurements by about an order of magnitude. "EL" and "OP" refer to	5.5.5.2_fig1Left, 5.5.5.2_fig1Right, 5.5.5.2_fig2Left, 5.5.5.2_fig2B, 5.5.5.2_fig3, 5.5.5.2_fig4, 5.5.5.2_fig5, 5.5.5.2_fig6, 5.5.5.2_fig7	29. TRIUMF's Simon Viel is 2011 Vanier Scholar 3. TRIUMF produces and delivers...
5.5.5.3. T2K			6. TRIUMF Ships T2K Experiment Parts to Japan
5.5.5.4. ALPHA	Figure 1: (Left) The ALPHA-1 central apparatus. (Right) Antihydrogen trapping rate as function of confinement time. Figure 2: Construction of the ALPHA-2 apparatus and the Canadian-built cryostat.	5.5.5.4_fig1a, 5.5.5.4_fig1b, 5.5.5.4_fig2	
5.5.5.5. SNOLAB			
5.5.5.5. SNO+	Figure 1: Photo of the UI while various vacuum and fitting tests of apparatus were being carried out at TRIUMF.	5.5.5.5_fig1	
5.5.5.5. HALO	Figure 2: HALO, front shielding wall not yet installed.	5.5.5.5_fig2	
5.5.5.5. SuperCDMS	Figure 3: An interlaced z-dependent ionization and phonon detector ("ZIP") for SuperCDMS.	5.5.5.5_fig3	
5.5.5.5. DEAP	Figure 4: Schematics of the DEAP experiment. Figure 5: Acrylic vessel, underground at SNOlab.	5.5.5.5_fig4 5.5.5.5_fig5	
<b>5.6. Nuclear Medicine Infrastructure</b>			
5.6.1. TR-13 Cyclotron	Figure 1: Reliability of the TR13 cyclotron since 1997.	5.6.1_fig1	26. Tom Ruth Wins the Michael J. Welch Award
5.6.2. Labs and Facilities	Figure 2: GMP labs at TRIUMF. Figure 3: Chemistry synthesis unit at GMP labs.	5.6.2_fig2 5.6.2_fig3	13. TRIUMF joins cutting-edge
5.6.3. Proton Therapy Facility	Figure 4: Patient numbers since the beginning of treatment in 1995. Figure 5: Digital X-ray image, lateral and axial. This patient had four metal clips inserted around the tumour. The software is used to match the expected position of the clips (purple circles) with the actual clip position in the x-ray image, thus aligning the patient.	5.6.3_fig4 5.6.3_fig5	
<b>5.7. Theory Toolbox</b>			
	Figure 1: Experimental results for S-factor of $3\text{He}(d,p)4\text{He}$ reaction from beam-target measurements. The full line represents the <i>ab initio</i> calculation. No low-energy enhancement is present in the theoretical results, contrary to the laboratory beam-target data. Figure 2: The <i>ab initio</i> calculated $7\text{Be}(p, \gamma)8\text{B}$ S-factor (full line) compared to experimental data and the calculation used in the latest evaluation (dashed line). Figure 3: Correlation plot of the $6\text{He}$ point-proton radius $r_{pp}$ versus the two-neutron separation energy $S_2n$ . The experimental range is compared to theory based on different <i>ab initio</i> methods. All calculations, but the red circles, omit three-nucleon forces. The fact that they do not go through the experimental band points towards the importance of three-nucleon forces in nuclear physics. Figure 4: Theoretical He-4 transition form factor $0+1 \rightarrow 0+2$ as a function of the squared momentum transfer $q^2$ calculated with various Hamiltonians, which all include three nucleon forces, in comparison to the available experimental data from electron scattering off He-4. Figure 5: Cross-sections for nucleon destruction by hidden antibaryonic DM scattering.	5.7_fig1 5.7_fig2 5.7_fig3 5.7_fig4 5.7_fig5	

	Nuclear Physics Hadronic Physics High-energy Particle Physics			
<b>5.8.</b>	<b>Scientific Computing</b>			
5.8.1	ATLAS Tier 1	Figure 1: ATLAS Tier 1 architectural diagram. Figure 2: ATLAS Tier 1 network topology diagram.	5.8_fig1 5.8_fig2	
5.8.2.	Computing for Science and Data Acquisition			<b>34. SFU/TRIUMF Physicist Selected for ATLAS Leadership Role</b>
<b>5.9.</b>	<b>Laboratory Organization</b>			
5.9.1.	Overview	Figure 1: TRIUMF's organizational and administrative structure.	5.9.1-fig1	
5.9.2.	Accountability			
5.9.3.	QMS, Safety and Licensing			
5.9.4.	Project Management	Figure 1: The top four levels of the work break structure. Figure 2: A pie chart for manpower usage by project for fiscal year 2012.	5.9_fig1, 5.9_fig2	
<b>5.10</b>	<b>Innovation and Industrial Partnerships</b>	Figure 1: Positioning diagram of TRIUMF and AAPS Inc. relative to developing and exploiting techniques for commercialization.	5.10_fig1	(PLEASE INSERT IN 5.9.3) 10. MDS Nordion & TRIUMF to collaborate on Uranium-free Isotope Production  INSERT IN 5.10.2. #25 Earthly CT... and #44 AAPS Inc announces
<b>6</b>	<b>The Plan Delivering on the Promise 2015-2020</b>			
6.1	Building on Five-Year Plan 2010-2015			
6.2	The Five-Year Plan: Excellence with Impact			
6.2.1	Advancing Isotopes for Science and Medicine			
6.2.1.1	Rare Isotopes to develop a standard model of nuclear physics	Figure 1: The isotopic chain for helium showing two protons and one neutron up through two protons and six neutrons. Figure 2: Evolution of two neutron separation energies for several isotopes comparing experimental and theoretical results. It is suspected that the leveling out of the measured energies is due to three-body nuclear interactions.	6.2_fig1 6.2_fig2	
6.2.1.1.1	Rare Isotopes as laboratories to search for new particles and forces	<b>Figure 3: Rn Nature Cover page volume 497 number 7448 pp 157-282 - waiting for permission</b>		
6.2.1.2	Isotopes to understand the origins of the chemical elements	Figure 4: A computer simulation of neutron stars merging. Courtesy of Daniel Price (u/Exeter) and Stephan Rosswog (Int. U/Bremen).	6.2_fig4	50. DRAGON Group reaches...
6.2.1.3	Isotopes as Probes of Magnetism at Interfaces and Surfaces of New Functional Materials	Figure 5: The beta-nmr facility at TRIUMF. Courtesy of Laura Scotten.	6.2_fig5	
6.2.1.4	Isotopes for Molecular Imaging of Diseases and Treatment of Cancer	Figure 6: PET in Affected Members is Identical to Sporadic PD shown with (a) F-DOPA and (b) Raclopride.	6.2_fig6a 6.2_fig6b	42. Mike Adam...
6.2.1.5	Understanding the Building Blocks of Matter and How they Shape our Universe			
6.2.2	Precision Measurements of Standard Model Processes	Figure 8: Summary of several Standard Model total production cross section measurements, corrected for leptonic branching fractions, compared to the corresponding theoretical expectations. ATLAS Experiment © 2013 CERN Figure 9: Francium trapping. Figure 10: Preliminary T2K CP phase sensitivity plot. Figure 11: The ALPHA experiment at CERN studies antihydrogen. This images shows an annihilation event. Courtesy of Chukman So/ALPHA Figure 12: Schematic of the neutron EDM apparatus. Figure 13: Flavour physics unitarity triangle.	6.2_fig8 6.2_fig9 6.2_fig10 6.2_fig11 6.2_fig12 6.2_fig13	
6.2.2.1	Direct searches for new particles	Figure 14: Bullet Cluster. Courtesy of NASA CXC CfA M.Markevitch et al. Figure 15: DEAP 3600. Figure 16: ATLAS simulated supersymmetry event, ATLAS Experiment © 2013 CERN Figure 17: Structure formation via dark matter courtesy of Pearson Education, 2011 © Figure 18: Schematics of doubling particles. © 2012 by the Particle Data Group.	6.2_fig14 6.2_fig15 6.2_fig16 6.2_fig17 6.2_fig18	
6.2.2.2	Harnessing Particle & Beams to Drive Discovery and Innovation			
6.2.3	Developing Next-Generation Accelerator Technologies	<b>Figure 19: TRIUMF contributed to a Nature Physics Cover story on novel FFAG accelerators. Courtesy of Nature Physics.- waiting for permission</b>		
6.2.3.1	Molecular and Materials Science Using $\mu$ SR and $\beta$ -NMR	Figure 20: Muon techniques play a role in understanding in how to improve chemistry in batteries. Courtesy of Toyota Central R&D Labs, Inc.	6.2_fig20	
6.2.3.2				

Advancing Isotope-Production Technologies with Accelerators	Figure 21: 100Mo(p,2n)99mTc at the commercial scale. Figure 22: target modules. Figure 23: A photograph of a modern TR cyclotron, courtesy of ACSI Inc.	6.2_fig21 6.2_fig22a 6.2_fig22b 6.2_fig22c 6.2_fig23	
6.2.3.3 Proton and Neutron Irradiation Facilities (PIF & NIF)			
6.2.3.4 Tumour Therapy of Ocular Melanoma	Figure 24: PET overlay of treatment plan.	6.2_fig24	
6.2.3.5 Commercialization and Marketing Technology via AAPS, Inc.			<b>1.TRIUMF selected as Centre of Excellence for Commercialization and Research</b>
6.3 Major Initiatives			
6.3.1 ARIEL: Completion to Science	Figure 1: An overview of TRIUMF showing beamlines and accelerator production and study. Figure 2: Plan view of new target station for ARIEL. Figure 3: Implementation of CANREB mass separator and ion source in ARIEL coupled to ISAC target. Figure 4: East target station in ARIEL. Figure 5: West target station in ARIEL. Figure 6: Sketch of the high power e-linac. Figure 7: Preliminary timeline for ARIEL completion indicating key science outcomes. Figure 8: Top-level work breakdown structure of the ARIEL Completion project.	6.3.1_Fig1 6.3.1_Fig2 6.3.1_fig3 6.3.1_fig4 6.3.1_fig5 6.3.1_fig6 6.3.1_fig7 6.3.1_fig8	43. ARIEL construction reaches...
6.3.2 Other Initiatives and Upgrades ATLAS	Figure 1: Preliminary design for ATLAS New Small Wheel showing the mechanical supports and envelopes of the wedges of detector elements. Courtesy of ATLAS Muon Collaboration. Figure 2: Sketch of the proposed Hyper-Kamiokande project.	6.3.2_Fig 1	
6.3.2.1 Underground Detector for Long Baseline Neutrino Oscillations and Proton Decay		6.3.2_fig2	
6.3.2.2 Ultra-Cold Neutron Facility BL1A and Meson Channels	Figure 3: Layout of UCN facility.	6.3.2_Fig 3	
6.3.2.3 Institute for Accelerator-Based Medical Isotopes (IAM)			
6.3.3 Personnel and Staffing	Figure 1: Modern TR24 cyclotron manufactured by ACSI, Inc. Figure 1: The proportion of TRIUMF staff supported by each funding source; this chart includes 403 FTEs. The data is for the first quarter of FY2013 and includes all staff paid through the TRIUMF payroll including post-docs but excluding students and casual labour. Figure 2: Histogram of number of staff working on different commitments accumulated over FY2012; the data includes commitments supported by NRC, CFI, and cost-recovery activities. The chart indicates that a majority of staff members are involved in multiple.	6.3.3__Fig1 6.3.4_Fig1 6.3.4_Fig 2	45. SNMMI recognizes...
6.3.4 Deferred Maintenance Strategy			
6.4 Value for Canada			
6.4.1 Key Outputs and Outcomes	Figure 1: Schematic of neighbourhood district energy system involving TRIUMF and UBC.	6.4.1_Fig 1	
6.4.2 International Context	Figure 1: Measured and calculated yields of Rb (left) and Kr (right) isotopes for ISOLDE, GANIL, ISAC, and e-linac-based ARIEL yields.	6.4.2_Fig 1-left 6.4.2_Fig 1-right	49. Japan and Canada to compete...
6.5 Implementation	Figure 1: (left) History of annual expenditures at TRIUMF, indicating sources of funds over the past decade. Estimates for 2014 and 2015 are included. (right) Relative sources of FY 2012–2013 funding for the TRIUMF program. [NEED HI-RES SOURCE FROM HENRY]	Fig 1 (left), Fig 1 (right)	
6.5.1 Generalized Roles			
6.5.2 Coordinated Support Evolving Roles	Figure 1: Distribution of TRIUMF funding from various sources for Five-Year Plan 2010–2015 (left) and Five-Year Plan 2015–2020 (right).	6.5.3_Fig 1 (xlsx)	
6.5.3			
<b>7.0 Appendices</b>			
7.1 Facilities Glossary			
7.2 Collaborations Glossary			

7.3. Summary Statistics

Figure 7.3-1: Value of NSERC-funded subatomic physics grants for each of the five past fiscal years separated into awards that involve TRIUMF in some fashion and those that do not.

Figure 7.3-1  
Figure 7.3-2  
Figure 7.3-3  
Figure 7.3-4  
Figure 7.3-5

Figure 7.3-2: National origins of scientific visitors to TRIUMF over the past five years.

Figure 7.3.3: Media coverage featuring TRIUMF, sorted by type of medium. These data are collected through manual review of returns from Google News and Meltwater News clipping services.

Figure 7.3-4: Historical uptime and availability of TRIUMF's main cyclotron.

Figure 7.3-5: History of medical isotope production runs for the TR-13 cyclotron at TRIUMF.

7.4. Media Coverage

7.5. Committees

7.6. Publications

7.7. Students and Trainees

Figure 7.5-1: History of TRIUMF's financial.

figure 7.5-1